Learning & Education
ISSN：2251-2802

Pisco Med Publishing

Practical Logic and Future Trends of Artificial Intelligence Empowering Environmental Design Education

Wenliang Ye

Chodang University, Muanro 58530, Republic of Korea

Abstract: With the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence technologies in areas such as image generation, spatial simulation, and behavior recognition, environmental design education is undergoing a profound paradigm shift. Based on the context of AI empowerment, this paper systematically analyzes the practical logic and reconstruction pathways of artificial intelligence in environmental design education, covering multiple dimensions including curriculum content, competency structures, course systems, evaluation mechanisms, and educational ethics. The study highlights that while AI enhances teaching efficiency, boosts creative expression, and expands design logic, it also brings challenges related to students' creative subjectivity, cultural diversity in expression, and fairness in evaluation. As "human-machine collaboration" becomes a new norm in teaching, environmental design education must move beyond tool-dependent thinking and construct an instructional framework that integrates intelligent generation, humanistic judgment, and systemic capabilities. The paper emphasizes the need to transition from "technological embedding" to "value co-construction" in order to achieve sustainable innovation and deep transformation in environmental design education.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Environmental Design; Educational Restructuring; Human-Machine Collaboration; Educational Ethics

1. Introduction

As a vital branch of art and design disciplines, environmental design focuses on the interaction between space and human behavior, encompassing various domains such as interior, exhibition, landscape, and public art design. The educational goals of this field go beyond cultivating students' aesthetic perception and spatial expression abilities; they emphasize the integration of environmental awareness with social culture and ecological consciousness. With the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, traditional design education is undergoing a profound transformation. Breakthroughs in AI capabilities—such as image generation, semantic recognition, spatial simulation, and behavior modeling—are introducing new tools and modes of thinking into environmental design education.

The initial applications of AI in education focused on personalized instruction, intelligent assessment, and content generation. However, in recent years, these technologies have gradually expanded into design education, introducing novel pedagogical practices such as generative design assistance, real-time feedback, and style transfer. Studies indicate that AI can support the stimulation of creative thinking and the optimization of design proposals in environmental design through intelligent algorithms, thereby reshaping learning approaches and instructional organization [1]. Emerging interdisciplinary technologies such as AIoT (Artificial Intelligence of Things) have also been incorporated into design education, enhancing spatial understanding and immersive learning through environmental sensing and real-time data feedback [2].

While these trends enrich educational tools and strategies, they also pose new challenges. The integration of AI may weaken students' training in spatial construction logic, leading to a "tool-replacing-thinking" phenomenon. Instructors face difficulties in keeping up with rapid tool updates, a lack of adequate evaluation mechanisms, and fragmentation in curricular systems. In the context of art and design education, originality and humanistic values remain central to assessment. The risk of standardization in AI-generated content necessitates a careful balance between innovation and critique, efficiency and expression.

In response to these shifts, this paper aims to explore how artificial intelligence participates in the practice of environmental design education. It systematically analyzes the application pathways of AI across curriculum content, teaching methods, and competency development structures, drawing on representative cases and frontier research to examine emerging reconstruction trends and value orientations in the future of environmental design education.

2. Reshaping the Pedagogical Logic of Environmental Design Education through Artificial Intelligence

The widespread application of artificial intelligence is reshaping the foundational pedagogical logic of environmental design education. Traditionally, this discipline has emphasized formal aesthetics, representational techniques, and spatial emotions. However, with the integration of AI technologies, teaching activities are shifting from an “experience-based transmission” paradigm to an “intelligent collaboration” model. This transformation is not limited to an expansion of instructional content; it extends more profoundly to the restructuring of learning modes, cognitive frameworks, and curriculum systems. AI offers tools for “cognitive augmentation” in education. Leveraging generative AI and intelligent reasoning systems, learners can engage in interactive responses to tasks, enabling real-time generation and feedback of design knowledge. This dynamic cognitive environment significantly enhances the efficiency and specificity of design instruction [3].

Studies have shown that AI facilitates a shift from static content delivery to process-driven learning by enabling adaptive learning pathways, real-time behavioral tracking, and recognition of design processes [4]. AI is also redefining the relational dynamics between teachers and students. In AI-assisted educational contexts, instructors are transformed from “knowledge authorities” to “technical facilitators” and “cognitive coordinators.” Xu and Fan’s research indicates that in AI-based instructional models, AI can serve as a “knowledge provider,” “learning behavior regulator,” and “student collaboration mediator,” thereby constructing a human–machine–learner triangular teaching structure [5].

Furthermore, AI fosters cross-disciplinary integration in course design, particularly reflected in the coordination between “sensory aesthetics” and “technical systems” in environmental design education. Chai et al., using the ADDIE instructional model, found that generative AI can effectively intervene in the stages of analysis, development, and implementation, facilitating a shift from task-oriented to goal-system-oriented design education [6]. This evolution supports students in transitioning from fragmented skill training to the cultivation of integrated competencies.

AI has also inspired new modes of interactive, dialogic design. In engineering and architectural design education, researchers have guided students to reconfigure CAD modeling schemes through “dialogues with AI,” transforming design processes from unidirectional construction to bidirectional generation. This enhances students’ creativity and technical communication skills [7]. Such methods are transferable to environmental design, helping students develop problem-driven design logic.

The impact of AI on environmental design education is also reflected in the redefinition of pedagogical objectives. The focus has shifted from mastering design techniques to understanding generative logic, developing data literacy, and cultivating critical judgment. This transformation of the competency structure signals that future environmental design education will no longer function merely as a training ground for artistic skills, but rather as a platform for knowledge construction integrating intelligence, humanism, and ecological awareness [8].

3. Reconstructing Curriculum Content and Competency Development in Environmental Design

The advancement of artificial intelligence technologies is accelerating the transformation of environmental design education from a “technique-driven” to a “systems-oriented” paradigm, prompting a deep restructuring of curriculum content and talent competency models. In course design, AI has become a pivotal element integrating knowledge modules with technical modules, no longer merely adding instrumental content but fundamentally reorganizing the structure of educational content and the boundaries of disciplinary knowledge.

The application of generative artificial intelligence (Generative AI) is reshaping how design ideation and sketching are taught. In traditional design education, students rely primarily on hand-drawing or software tools to express conceptual ideas. With the integration of AI, students now use image generation algorithms (e.g., Midjourney, Stable Diffusion) to rapidly experiment with style, composition, and color, thereby enhancing divergent thinking in early design stages. In AI-assisted design courses, students’ spatial intuition and problem-solving abilities are significantly improved, showing a marked increase in creative self-efficacy [9].

AI’s logical precision and data-processing capacity have expanded the scope of design education beyond visual aesthetics to include “data aesthetics” and “system adaptation.” Courses now integrate modules such as parametric design, graph neural network-based layout simulation, and user behavior prediction. These allow students to incorporate environmental data, user information, and spatial semantic analysis into their design processes, thereby fostering system-building capabilities and technical transfer skills. In this context, students no longer merely learn how to design, but also why certain decisions are made, gradually acquiring the ability to optimize environmental spaces through algorithmic reasoning [10].

In terms of competency development, AI supports the construction of a triadic capability framework combining "sensory," "rational," and "systemic" dimensions. Within AI-enhanced design curricula, students not only improve their digital tool proficiency but also make significant gains in soft skills such as digital communication, critical design thinking, and expressive transformation. Particularly in collaborative design contexts, students develop deeper understandings of "co-creation" and "human-AI collaboration," thereby laying a solid foundation for future interdisciplinary and cross-platform design practices [11].

Artificial intelligence not only expands the knowledge boundaries of environmental design courses but also drives the evolution of competency training from static "skill acquisition" to dynamic "systems construction." This shift represents a profound paradigm transformation, the core of which lies in helping students find convergence between technical logic and design reasoning. As a result, a new generation of environmental designers is being cultivated—one equipped with critical, systemic perspectives suited to the complexities of future challenges.

4. Transforming Teaching Models and Evaluation Systems in Environmental Design Education

The integration of artificial intelligence not only revolutionizes design tools but also profoundly transforms the pedagogical models and evaluation systems within environmental design education. Traditionally, instructors serve as the primary transmitters of knowledge, while students' performance is assessed through final design outputs, resulting in a largely one-way, static instructional process. With the introduction of AI, teaching is shifting toward process-oriented collaboration, real-time feedback, and dynamic evaluation, fostering a more open, adjustable, and traceable educational ecosystem.

In terms of teaching models, AI significantly enhances both interactivity and generativity. AIGC (AI-Generated Content)-based teaching methods enable students to generate sketches, spatial combinations, and stylistic references in real time, effectively stimulating design imagination and increasing iteration efficiency. The introduction of AIGC in product and environmental design courses has led to marked improvements in students' creative output, originality, and self-efficacy. Notably, it also provides stronger inspiration and support for students in lower ability groups [12]. These findings suggest that AI can serve as a crucial technological foundation for personalized teaching, meeting diverse cognitive needs and creative rhythms.

AI technologies also catalyze a transformation in the logic of educational assessment. In AI-driven evaluation systems based on learning behaviors, students' learning processes become visualized and data-driven. Educators can track behavioral patterns, design evolution, and interaction frequency to better understand students' cognitive styles and developmental trajectories. This process-oriented evaluation supplements the traditionally outcome-focused assessment centered on final presentation boards. AI-assisted construction of design rubrics enhances precision, consistency, and efficiency, while also improving students' understanding of and adaptation to assessment standards, thereby contributing to a fairer and more flexible feedback mechanism [13].

Environmental design education is also experimenting with hybrid evaluation models that combine human and AI judgment. In some pilot courses, AI systems conduct preliminary assessments of students' work in terms of style, proportion, and color harmony, while instructors offer complementary evaluations addressing contextual, cultural, and emotional dimensions. This collaborative assessment framework is considered more reflective of the multifaceted nature of environmental design [14].

However, the application of AI in teaching and evaluation also entails certain risks and challenges. Most AI-based scoring models rely on quantifiable features and remain limited in their ability to capture subjective elements such as emotional expression or design intent. Furthermore, over-reliance on AI feedback may suppress students' exploratory drive and stylistic originality, leading to homogenization and excessive standardization [15]. Therefore, it is crucial to safeguard the core values of individual expression and cultural diversity while incorporating intelligent mechanisms into design education.

AI is guiding environmental design pedagogy from a transmission-based model to a collaborative one, and from static outcome evaluation to dynamic process assessment—signaling a major shift in educational paradigms. Looking ahead, environmental design education should emphasize the construction of collaborative mechanisms between AI technologies and human judgment, promoting deep integration of "smart instructional platforms" with "value-driven pedagogy."

5. Reflections on Human-AI Collaboration and Future Educational Ethics

As artificial intelligence becomes deeply embedded in education, environmental design programs face not only technological updates but also a systemic reconstruction of pedagogical ethics, creative subjectivity, and the boundaries between human and machine roles. Human-AI collaboration has evolved from mere tool support to cognitive co-creation, fundamentally altering the nature of design education. This transformation reshapes knowledge acquisition models while simultaneously challenging the traditional status of the "human" in creative practice and the very definition of creativity itself.

Collaborative models between AI and designers have diversified—ranging from AI-generated, human-refined, to human-generated, AI-augmented, and even to deeply integrated co-creation frameworks [16]. While these models expand creative possibilities, they also introduce ambiguities in responsibility and weaken the clarity of value judgment. Trust in AI can only be fostered when human agency, value-driven leadership, and output explainability are maintained throughout the collaboration process [17].

Ethical concerns are increasingly central to AI applications in education. In the context of design, students' creative outputs often integrate personal emotions, cultural narratives, and aesthetic standpoints. Without mechanisms to verify originality and clearly defined boundaries for AI-generated content, risks emerge such as design plagiarism, cultural oversimplification, and creative bias [18]. Educators must therefore teach AI literacy alongside academic integrity, incorporating "usage boundaries" into the ethics of design instruction.

AI may also exacerbate inequality in educational resources and expression contexts. Most AI training models are built upon Western aesthetic traditions and dominant architectural languages. Blind adoption of such outputs in environmental design education may lead to stylistic homogenization and the erosion of localized discourse [19]. Thus, localized data training and cross-cultural design awareness are essential to preserving cultural diversity as a foundational principle of design education.

Looking toward the future, a "human-centered" philosophy of AI in education is gradually taking shape. Researchers have proposed "human–AI co-agency" learning environments, emphasizing both the computational capacity of AI and the judgment, ethics, and cultural creativity of human participants [20]. This provides not only a theoretical foundation for pedagogical innovation but also practical guidance for policy makers in curriculum development, tool adoption, and regulatory frameworks. The integration of AI into environmental design education must go beyond efficiency and skill transfer. Greater emphasis must be placed on ethical construction, affirmation of human agency, and cultural responsibility within collaborative creation. Future education should shift from "AI as a tool" toward "co-creation with AI," building an intelligent educational system that is both efficient and grounded in values.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is fundamentally reshaping the structural logic and practical pathways of environmental design education. From curriculum content to competency models, from evaluation systems to ethical dimensions, all aspects reveal a systemic transformation driven by technological empowerment. In this ongoing shift, AI is not merely introduced as a tool but also as a catalyst for new modes of thinking and frameworks of cognition. Design education is moving away from technique-centered instruction and toward the construction of comprehensive capabilities that blend data insight, systemic reasoning, and humanistic expression. Students are no longer confined to intuitive, sensory-based creation; rather, with algorithmic support, they now engage in multidimensional and multiscalar problem analysis and design synthesis.

Importantly, the innovation sparked by AI does not entail the abdication of humanistic values. At the core of environmental design education remains a profound understanding of space, culture, and the human condition. Technology must serve the diversity of design expression and the autonomy of cultural creation, rather than constrain creative generation in the name of efficiency or standardization. In this new educational ecosystem of human–AI synergy, the role of educators is transforming from knowledge transmitters to value guides, and instructional processes are evolving from linear delivery to dynamic interaction. This co-constructive mechanism not only expands the potential of pedagogy but also reconfigures its ethical foundations.

Future environmental design education will embody a tripartite fusion of intelligence, humanism, and systems thinking, evolving into an open, adaptive, and value-conscious learning ecosystem. The true significance of AI lies not in replacing human creativity, but in expanding the boundaries of education, reinvigorating our responsibility toward knowledge and culture, and enabling a symbiotic evolution of technology and design through collaborative generation.

References

[1] Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. Expert Syst. Appl., 252, 124167.

[2] Tabuenca, B., Uche-Soria, M., Greller, W., Leo, D.H., Balcells-Falgueras, P., Gloor, P.A., & Garbajosa, J. (2023). Greening smart learning environments with Artificial Intelligence of Things.Internet Things, 25, 101051.

[3] Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review.IEEE Access, 8, 75264-75278.

[4] Guan, C., Mou, J., & Jiang, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence innovation in education: A twenty-year data-driven historical analysis. International Journal of Innovation Studies, 4, 134-147.

[5] Xu, W., & Fan, O. (2021). A systematic review of AI role in the educational system based on a proposed conceptual framework. Education and Information Technologies, 27, 4195 - 4223.

[6] Chai, D., Kim, H., Kim, K., Ha, Y., Shin, S., & Yoon, S. (2025). Generative Artificial Intelligence in Instructional System Design. Human Resource Development Review.

[7] Requejo, W., Martínez, F., Vega, C., Martínez, R., Cendrero, A., & Lantada, D. (2024). Fostering creativity in engineering design through constructive dialogues with generative artificial intelligence.Cell Reports Physical Science.

[8] Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming Education: A Comprehensive Review of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Educational Settings through Bibliometric and Content Analysis.Sustainability.

[9] Huang, K., Liu, Y., & Dong, M. (2024). Incorporating AIGC into Design Ideation: A Study on Self-Efficacy and Learning Experience Acceptance under Higher-Order Thinking.Thinking Skills and Creativity.

[10] Chiu, T. (2021). A Holistic Approach to the Design of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Education for K-12 Schools.TechTrends, 65, 796 - 807.

[11] Jung, D., & Suh, S. (2024). Enhancing Soft Skills through Generative AI in Sustainable Fashion Textile Design Education. Sustainability.

[12] Huang, K., Liu, Y., Dong, M., & Lu, C. (2024). Integrating AIGC into product design ideation teaching: An empirical study on self-efficacy and learning outcomes.Learning and Instruction.

[13] Fernández-Sánchez, A., Lorenzo-Castiñeiras, J., & Sánchez-Bello, A. (2024). Navigating the Future of Pedagogy: The Integration of AI Tools in Developing Educational Assessment Rubrics.European Journal of Education.

[14] Dai, Y., Lin, Z., Liu, A., & Wang, W. (2023). An embodied, analogical and disruptive approach of AI pedagogy in upper elementary education: An experimental study.Br. J. Educ. Technol., 55, 417-434.

[15] Yue, M., Jong, M., & Dai, Y. (2022). Pedagogical Design of K-12 Artificial Intelligence Education: A Systematic Review. Sustainability.

[16] Brusilovsky, P. (2023). AI in Education, Learner Control, and Human-AI Collaboration.International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 1-14.

[17] Haupt, M., Freidank, J., & Haas, A. (2024). Consumer responses to human-AI collaboration at organizational frontlines: strategies to escape algorithm aversion in content creation.Review of Managerial Science.

[18] Vinchon, F., Lubart, T., Bartolotta, S., Gironnay, V., Botella, M., Bourgeois-Bougrine, S., Burkhardt, J., Bonnardel, N., Corazza, G.,

Glăveanu, V., Hanson, M., Ivcevic, Z., Karwowski, M., Kaufman, J., Okada, T., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Gaggioli, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence & Creativity: A Manifesto for Collaboration. The Journal of Creative Behavior.

[19] Fu, Y., & Weng, Z. (2024). Navigating the Ethical Terrain of AI in Education: A Systematic Review on Framing Responsible Human-Centered AI Practices.Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence.

[20] Airaj, M. (2024). Ethical artificial intelligence for teaching-learning in higher education. Educ. Inf. Technol., 29, 17145-17167.